

United States Patent and Trademark Office

fu

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/707,382	12/09/2003	Heng-Chien Chen	TRAP0003USA 1381		
27765 759 NORTH AMERIC	90 01/24/2007 CA INTELLECTUAL I	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 506 MERRIFIELD, VA 22116			KNOWLIN, THJUAN P		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2614			
	,	• .		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
SHORTENED STATUTORY F	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE DELIVE		Y MODE		
3 MONTHS 01/24/2007			PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/707,382		CHEN, HENG-CHIEN				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Thjuan P. Kno		2614				
The Period for Re	ne MAILING DATE of this communication app eply	ears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
WHICHE - Extensions after SIX (- If NO period - Failure to (Any reply	VER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In od for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS (36(a). In no event, he will apply and will exp	COMMUNICATION owever, may a reply be tin ire SIX (6) MONTHS from in to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Re:	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>09 December 2003</i> .							
	This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) Sin	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit							
clos	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition	of Claims		•					
4)⊠ Cla	4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.							
4a)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Cla	im(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	im(s) is/are objected to.							
8)☐ Cla	im(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requ	irement.					
Application	Papers							
9) <u></u> The	specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The	drawing(s) filed on 09 December 2003 is/ai	re: a)⊠ acce _l	oted or b) 🗌 object	ted to by the Exam	niner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Rep	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
1.[1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
•								
Attaches - M.			•					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)								
	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) (Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	•	•			
3) Information	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu (US 7,154,880), in view of Tagg et al (US Patent Application, Pub. No.: US 2005/0286466 A1).
- 2. In regards to claim 1, Wu discloses discloses a first local telephone system (See Fig. 2 and PSTN 70); a first communication module (See Fig. 2 and data access arrangement {DAA} 17) connected to the first local telephone system and connected to the Internet (Fig. 2 and digital network 80) through a first dynamic IP address, the first communication module capable of converting voice signals received from the first local telephone system to voice packets for transmission over the Internet and capable of restoring voice packets received through the Internet into voice signals; a second local telephone system (e.g., PBX); and a second communication module (See Fig. 2 and SLIC 14) connected to the second local telephone system and connected to the Internet through a second dynamic IP address, the second communication module capable of converting voice signals received from the second local telephone system to voice packets for transmission over the Internet and capable of restoring voice packets

received through the Internet into voice signals (See col. 3-4 lines 55-25); and a host (See Fig. 2 and CPU 18) connected to the Internet through a static IP address, the host capable of controlling voice packet traffic between the first communication module and the second communication module (See col. 4 lines 26-50). Wu, however, does not disclose a roaming communication system. Tagg, however, does disclose a roaming telecommunication system (See Abstract, Fig. 23, and pg. 12, paragraph [0217] – [0218]). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate this feature within the system, as a way of providing the device with the capability of determining the connection methodologies available to it and its relative merits, and then connecting to the host using the best available standards.

- 3. In regards to claim 2, Wu discloses, wherein the first communication module is a data access arrangement (DAA) module (See Fig. 2 and DAA 17), the first local telephone system is a public switched telephone network (PSTN) (See Fig. 2 and PSTN 70), and the DAA module is connected to the PSTN through at least one phone line (See Fig. 2 and RJ-11 connecting port/third connecting port 13) (See col. 4 lines 1-3). Tagg discloses the roaming communication system (See Abstract, Fig. 23, and pg. 12, paragraph [0217] [0218]).
- 4. In regards to claim 3, Wu discloses, wherein the second communication module is a subscriber line interface circuit (SLIC) module and the second local telephone system is a private branch exchange (PBX) (See col. 3 lines 55-64). Tagg discloses the

roaming communication system (See Abstract, Fig. 23, and pg. 12, paragraph [0217] – [0218]).

- 5. In regards to claim 6, Wu discloses, wherein the host comprises a network private branch exchange (PBX) for managing telephone connections (See col. 4 lines 26-33). Tagg discloses the roaming communication system (See Abstract, Fig. 23, and pg. 12, paragraph [0217] [0218]).
- 6. In regards to claim 7, Wu discloses, wherein the host comprises a server connected to the network PBX for controlling data traffic (See col. 4 lines 34-50). Tagg discloses the roaming communication system (See Abstract, Fig. 23, and pg. 12, paragraph [0217] [0218]).
- 7. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu (US 7,154,880), in view of Tagg et al (US Patent Application, Pub. No.: US 2005/0286466 A1), and further in view of Alexis (US Patent Application, Pub. No.: Us 2006/0128376 A1).
- 8. In regards to claim 4, Wu and Tagg disclose all of claim 4 limitations, except wherein the first (See Fig. 2B and DAA 36) and second (See Fig. 2B and SLIC 20) communication modules are each connected to the Internet through a network cable according to IEEE 802.3 protocol. Alexis, however, discloses the use of IEEE 802.11 protocol (See pg. 12, paragraph [0192]). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the IEEE 802.3

protocol within the system, as a way of connecting a device(s) to the Internet or any other data network.

9. In regards to claim 5, Wu and Tagg disclose all of claim 5 limitations, except wherein the first and second communication modules are each wirelessly connected to the Internet through an access point, the first and second communication modules wirelessly communicate with the respective access points according to an IEEE 802.11x protocol. Alexis, however, discloses wherein the first (See Fig. 2B and DAA 36) and second (See Fig. 2B and SLIC 20) communication modules are each wirelessly connected to the Internet through an access point (See Fig. 14, access point 1406 and Fig. 19A access point 1900), the first and second communication modules wirelessly communicate with the respective access points according to an IEEE 802.11x protocol (See pg. 14, paragraph [0256]; pg. 15, paragraph [0260] – [0263]; and pg. 15, paragraph [0272] – [0273]).

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ng et al (Us 6,424,647) teach a method and apparatus for making a phone call connection over an Internet connection. Shnitzer et al (US 7,061,901) teach a data network and PSTN telephony system.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thjuan P. Knowlin whose telephone number is (571) 272-7486. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00pm.

- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

THJUAN P. KNOWLIN PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600